1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Carolyn H. Cottrell (SBN 166977) Ori Edelstein (SBN 268145) Michelle S. Lim (SBN 315691) Kristabel Sandoval (SBN 323714) SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL KONECKY LLP 2000 Powell Street, Suite 1400 Emeryville, California 94608 Tel: (415) 421-7100 Fax: (415) 421-7105 ccottrell@schneiderwallace.com oedelstein@schneiderwallace.com mlim@schneiderwallace.com ksandoval@schneiderwallace.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs, on behalf of the Putative Class, the State of California				
12	and Aggrieved Employees				
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA				
14	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA				
15					
16	KHAYO SISHI, SANDEEP PUREWAL, VANESSA BARBER, and CHERRA REDD on	Case No.: RG21100764			
17	behalf of the Putative Class, the State of California and Aggrieved Employees;	Hon. Eumi Lee Dept. 512			
18	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF PLAINTIFF SANDEEP PUREWAL IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT			
19 20	V.				
21	ESKATON PROPERTIES INCORPORATED, CALIFORNIA HEALTHCARE	Date:	July 2	28, 2023	
22	CONSULTANTS, INC., and DOES 1-100,	Time:	9:30		
23	inclusive;	Dept.:	512		
24	Defendants.				
25		Complaint	Filed:	June 2, 2021	
26					
27					
28					
29					
30					
31					
32					

I, Sandeep Purewal, declare as follows:

3 4

5

6 7

> 8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28

29

30 31

32

- 1. I am a Named Plaintiff in Sishi, et al. v. Eskaton Properties Incorporated, et al., pending in the Superior Court of California, County of Alameda. I am over the age of eighteen. The following statements are based on my personal knowledge. If called on to do so, I could and would testify competently thereto.
- 2. I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement in this action.
- 3. I was employed by Defendants as a non-exempt employee from approximately June 2017 to January 2018. I was employed by Defendants as a dishwasher and my duties included, for example, washing dishes after patient meals.
- 4. I experienced issues in my employment with Defendants, including Defendants' failure to pay for all hours worked, as well as meal and rest break issues, among wage and hour violations.
- 5. As a result of these issues, I believed that I experienced unpaid wages violations and decided to contact Lawyers for Justice, PC. I understand that Schneider Wallace Cottrell Konecky LLP and Capstone Law, APC are also co-counsel in this action.
- 6. I knew that there was no guarantee that I would be successful in this case, and that there was a significant chance of protracted litigation. I understood I could potentially be liable for fees and costs. I also understood that I could have to spend a significant amount of time in the discovery process.
- 7. Additionally, I realized that my name would be on the action, and that this information would be visible to others.
- 8. Nonetheless, I felt strongly that Defendants had unlawful wage and hour policies and/or practices. Therefore, I decided to proceed as a representative plaintiff and file a class action lawsuit in the Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento. I understood that I would serve as a Named Plaintiff and class representative in a class action.
- 9. I worked with my attorneys to answer questions and review facts about my employment with Defendants so that they could draft the complaint. We filed a class action case in the Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento on August 23, 2021. I was aware that an amendment to the complaint in Sishi, et al. v. Eskaton Properties Incorporated, et al. would add me as a Named Plaintiff in this action, where I would serve as a class representative as well as a

representative employee for claims under the California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"). I understood that serving as a representative of the Class members and Aggrieved Employees meant putting their interests above my own.

- 10. After the proposed Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release was reached, I reviewed the terms. I also carefully reviewed Addendum A and Addendum B to the Settlement. I discussed the Settlement with my attorneys. I spent time evaluating the proposed outcome to assure that it was fair. Based on my own review and my attorneys' evaluation and recommendation, I believe the Settlement is fair and reasonable. I signed the Settlement on October 13, 2022, and the addenda thereto on November 5, 2022 and December 21, 2022.
- 11. Throughout this action, I have been in communication with my attorneys to keep apprised of the status of the litigation. I have kept up-to-date on the status at every phase.
- 12. In sum, I have been an active participant in this litigation since the inception of the case I filed in the Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento. I worked with my attorneys to prepare the complaint, stayed informed of the settlement decisions, and otherwise remained in contact with my attorneys. I have been involved with this litigation for nearly two years, and during that time I estimate that I have contributed at least 2-4 hours of my own time to the prosecution of these claims.
- 13. By participating in this case as a plaintiff, I placed myself at personal risk. For example, I faced: (a) risk to my reputation in my community as a result of stepping forward publicly in a class and PAGA action; (b) risk to my reputation as it concerns my future employment opportunities; (c) risk that I would be subject to intrusive discovery and time demands in this litigation; and (d) risk that I would have to pay defense costs if I lost this case. Nevertheless, I chose to face these risks to challenge the way that Defendants treated their employees.
- 14. I ask that the Court award an incentive award of \$5,000 to compensate me for the amount of time I spent on this case, the risks undertaken, the fact that I am providing a general release of my rights against Defendants, and for the benefit being provided by the Settlement to the Class, the Labor and Workforce Development Agency, and the Aggrieved Employees.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing

¹ Plaintiff Barber, Plaintiff Redd, and I initiated our own actions against Defendants. After further investigation and meeting and conferring, the Parties in *Sishi* agreed that the cases should be consolidated and myself, as well as Plaintiffs Barber and Redd should be added to the First Amended Complaint. The Court ultimately granted the Parties' stipulation for leave to file a Second Amended Complaint to incorporate these additional Plaintiffs and their claims, and the Second Amended Complaint was filed on September 13, 2022.

1	is true and correct and is based upon my personal knowledge.		
2			
3	Executed on 06 / 28 / 2023 in Henderson, Nevada.		
4			
5			
6	Shran		
7	Sandeep Purewal		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
2930			
31			
32			
ے د			