| 1      |   |   |  |
|--------|---|---|--|
| 2      |   |   |  |
| 3      |   |   |  |
| 4      |   |   |  |
| 5      |   |   |  |
| 6      |   |   |  |
| 7      |   |   |  |
| 8      |   |   |  |
| 9      | SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  |   |  |
| 0      | COUNTY O  | F ALAMEDA   |  |
| 1      | VIIAVA CICIII CANDEED DUDEWAL   | Case No - DC21100764                                      |  |
| 2      | KHAYO SISHI, SANDEEP PUREWAL,<br>VANESSA BARBER, and CHERRA REDD on               | Case No.: RG21100764                                      |  |
| 3      | behalf of the Putative Class, the State of<br>California and Aggrieved Employees; | Hon. Eumi Lee<br>Dept. 512                                |  |
| 4      | Plaintiffs,   | [PROPOSED] AMENDED ORDER                                  |  |
| 5      | V.  | GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF<br>CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND |  |
| 6      |   | ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT                                   |  |
| 7      | ESKATON PROPERTIES INCORPORATED,<br>CALIFORNIA HEALTHCARE                         | Date: August 4, 2023                                      |  |
| 8      | CONSULTANTS, INC., and DOES 1-100,  | Time: 9:30 a.m.   |  |
| 9      | inclusive;  | Dept.: 512  |  |
| 0      | Defendants.   |   |  |
| 1      |   | Complaint Filed: June 2, 2021                             |  |
| 2      |   |   |  |
| 3      |   |   |  |
| 4      |   |   |  |
| 5      |   |   |  |
| 6      |   |   |  |
| 7      |   |   |  |
| 8      |   |   |  |
| 9      |   |   |  |
| 0      |   |   |  |
| 1<br>2 |   |   |  |
| ~      |   |   |  |
|        | [PROPOSED] AMENDED ORDER GRANTING FINAL   |   |  |
|        |   | IAL JUDGMENT<br>ton Properties Incorporated               |  |

1 The Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement filed by Plaintiffs Khayo Sishi, 2 Sandeep Purewal, Vanessa Barber, and Cherra Redd, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly 3 situated ("Plaintiffs"), came before this Court on August 4, 2023, at 9:00 a.m. for hearing and Order of Final Approval and Judgment. Consistent with the Court's Order re: Hearing on Motion – Other 4 5 Preliminary Approval of Settlement ("Preliminary Approval Order") entered on January 20, 2023 and the Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release, and Addendum A, Addendum B, and Addendum 6 7 C thereto (collectively the "Settlement"), due and adequate notice having been given to all Class Members, and having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein, and otherwise being 8 fully informed and good cause appearing therefore, it is hereby **ORDERED**, **ADJUDGED**, **AND** 9 **DECREED AS FOLLOWS:** 10

11

1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement;

12 2. This Court has continuing jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and over
13 all Parties to this Action, including all Settlement Class Members, until the Settlement is fully
14 administered. (Cal. Rules of Court, 3.769(h).).

15 3. All Settlement Class Members and all Released Claims are covered by and included16 within the Settlement and this Order.

4. The Court hereby finds the Settlement was entered into in good faith pursuant to and
 within the meaning of Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 877.6. The Court further finds that the Settlement is fair,
 reasonable, and adequate and that Plaintiffs have satisfied the standards and applicable requirements
 for final approval of this Settlement under California law, including the provisions of Cal. Code Civ.
 Proc. § 382.

5. The Court finds that the Settlement has been reached as a result of intensive, serious and non-collusive arms-length negotiations. The Court further finds that the Parties have conducted extensive investigation and research, and counsel for the Parties are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court also finds that Settlement at this time will avoid additional substantial costs, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the Action. The Court has reviewed the benefits that are being granted as part of the Settlement and recognizes the significant value to the Settlement Class Members.

29 6. The Court finds that the settlement class is properly certified as a class for settlement
30 purposes only, and orders that it be certified for settlement purposes only.

31 7. The Court finds that distribution of the Notice of Settlement directed to the Class
32 Members as set forth in the Settlement has been completed in conformity with the Preliminary

Approval Order, including individual notice to all Class Members who could be identified through
 reasonable effort, and the best notice practicable under the circumstances. The Notice of Settlement
 provided due and adequate notice of the proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the
 proposed Settlement, to all persons entitled to the Notice, and the Notice and its distribution fully
 satisfied the requirements of due process.

6 8. The Court finds that zero Class Members objected to the Settlement and 5 Class
7 Members have requested exclusion from the Settlement.

8 9. The Court hereby approves the Settlement and directs the Parties to effectuate the9 Settlement according to its terms.

10 10. Upon final approval of the Settlement and payment of the amounts set forth therein,
11 each and every Released Claim of each and every respective Settlement Class Member is and shall be
12 deemed to be conclusively released as against the Released Parties.

13 11. The Court finds and orders that the Settlement is and constitutes a fair, reasonable and
14 adequate compromise of the Released Claims against Defendants and the Released Parties.

15 12. The Parties entered into the Settlement to resolve the dispute that has arisen between them and to avoid the burden, expense, and risk of continued litigation. In entering into the 16 17 Settlement, Defendants do not admit, and specifically deny, they have violated any state, federal, or local law; violated any regulations or guidelines promulgated pursuant to any statute or any other 18 applicable laws, regulations, or legal requirements; or engaged in any other unlawful conduct with 19 respect to its employees. Neither this Order, the Settlement, nor any document referred to herein, nor 20 21 any action taken to carry out the Settlement, shall be construed as an admission or concession by 22 Defendants of any such violations or failures to comply with any applicable law.

13. The Court hereby finds the individual settlement payments provided for under the
Settlement and the Notice of Settlement to be fair and reasonable in light of all the circumstances. The
Court, therefore, orders the calculations and the payments to be made and administered in accordance
with the terms of the Settlement and the Notice of Settlement.

14. The Court hereby approves and orders payment in the amount of \$55,000.00 from the
Gross Settlement Amount for the Gross PAGA Amount, \$41,250.00 of which is payable to the
California Labor Workforce Development Agency, and \$13,750.00 of which the Aggrieved
Employees will receive based on a *pro rata* distribution.

31 15. The Court hereby confirms Plaintiff Khayo Sishi, Sandeep Purewal, Cherra Redd and
32 Zenaya White as Class Representatives, and Schneider Wallace Cottrell Konecky LLP, Lawyers for

1 Justice, PC, and Capstone Law APC as Class Counsel in the Action.

16. The Court hereby approves and orders the Service Award of \$10,000.00 to Plaintiff
Khayo Sishi and \$5,000.00 each to Plaintiffs Sandeep Purewal, Cherra Redd, and Zenaya White from
the Gross Settlement Amount for their efforts on behalf of the Class Members.

5 17. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement, and the authorities, evidence and argument submitted by Class Counsel, the Court hereby awards Class Counsel attorneys' fees in the amount of 6 7 \$1,833,333.33, and attorneys' costs in the amount of \$30,905.95, from the Gross Settlement Amount as final payment for and complete satisfaction of any and all attorneys' fees and costs incurred by 8 and/or owed to Class Counsel. The Court further orders that the award of attorneys' fees and costs set 9 forth in this paragraph shall be administered pursuant to the terms of the Settlement, and transferred 10 11 and/or made payable to Schneider Wallace Cottrell Konecky LLP, Lawyers for Justice, PC, and 12 Capstone Law APC as Class Counsel in the Action.

18. The Court also hereby approves and orders payment from the Gross Settlement Amount
for actual claims administration expenses incurred by the Settlement Administrator, Settlement
Services, Inc., in the amount of \$60,000.00.

16 19. The Court also hereby orders that the deadline for making the Court-approved
17 individual settlement awards, payment to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency, attorneys'
18 fees and costs payment, and the service award is as set forth in the implementation schedule set forth
19 below.

20 20. The Court hereby enters judgment in the entire Action as of the filing date of this Order 21 of Final Approval and Judgment, pursuant to the terms set forth in the Settlement. Without affecting 22 the finality of this Order of Final Approval and Judgment in any way, the Court hereby retains 23 continuing jurisdiction over the interpretation, implementation and enforcement of the Settlement and 24 all orders entered in connection therewith pursuant to Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6.

25

21.

The Court approves the following implementation schedule:

| 26                      | E C I D   |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 20                      | Effective Date  | (i) if there is an objection(s) to the         |  |  |  |
| 27                      |   | settlement that is not subsequently            |  |  |  |
| 28                      |   | withdrawn, then the date upon the              |  |  |  |
| 20                      |   | expiration of time for appeal of the Court's   |  |  |  |
| 29                      |   | Final Approval Order; or (ii) if there is a    |  |  |  |
| 20                      |   | timely objection(s) and appeal by an           |  |  |  |
| 30                      |   | objector(s), then after such appeal(s) is      |  |  |  |
| 31                      |   | dismissed or the Court's Final Approval        |  |  |  |
| 20                      |   | Order is affirmed on appeal; or (iii) if there |  |  |  |
| 32                      |   |  |  |  |  |
|                         | 3   |  |  |  |  |
|                         | [PROPOSED] AMENDED ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT A |  |  |  |  |
| ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT |   |  |  |  |  |

Khayo Sishi, et al. v. Eskaton Properties Incorporated

|   | are no timely objections to the settlement<br>if any objections which were filed are<br>withdrawn before the date of final appro-<br>then the first business day after the Coun-<br>order granting Final Approval of the<br>Settlement |
|---|--|
| Class Counsel shall submit a copy of the Final<br>Approval Order and Judgment entered by the<br>Court to the LWDA   | Within ten (10) days following the Effect<br>Date  |
| Plaintiffs Sandeep Purewal, Vanessa Barber,<br>Cherra Redd, and Zenaya White shall file<br>requests for dismissal of their actions, in their<br>other actions against Defendants  | Within ten (10) days following the Effec<br>Date   |
| The Settlement Administrator shall calculate the<br>employer share of taxes for each respective<br>employee based on the corresponding tax rates<br>provided by Defendants and/or the Released<br>Parties and provide Defendants and/or Released<br>Parties with the total employer tax contributions | Settlement Award calculations are appro  |
| Defendants shall transfer \$1,000,000 of the Gros<br>Settlement Amount to the Qualified Settlement<br>Fund(s)   | SS Within twenty (20) business days of the<br>Effective Date   |
| Defendants shall transfer the remaining<br>\$4,500,000 of the Gross Settlement Amount, plu<br>any additional employer payroll tax obligations,<br>to the Qualified Settlement Fund(s)   |  |
| Settlement Administrator shall provide counsel<br>with a final report of all Settlement Awards  | At least ten (10) business days before th<br>Settlement Awards to Settlement Class<br>Members are mailed   |
| Settlement Administrator shall mail Service<br>Awards to Plaintiffs   | Within five (5) days after the Settlement<br>Administrator receives the Gross<br>Settlement Amount, or as soon as<br>reasonably practicable  |
| Settlement Administrator shall mail all<br>Settlement Awards to Settlement Class Member   | Within ten (10) days after the Settlemen<br>Administrator receives the Gross<br>Settlement Amount, or as soon as<br>reasonably practicable   |
| Settlement Administrator shall make payment to<br>LWDA, and payment of attorneys' fees and cos<br>awards to Class Counsel   |  |

| 1<br>2   | Settlement Administrator shall send a reminder<br>letter via U.S. mail and email to those who have<br>not yet cashed their settlement check   | With ninety (90) days remaining prior to the check cashing deadline  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 3<br>4<br>5  | Settlement Administrator shall place a call to<br>those who have not yet cashed their settlement<br>check   | With sixty (60) days remaining prior to the check cashing deadline   |  |  |
| 6  | Checks for the Settlement Awards will become void if not cashed   | One hundred and eighty (180) days from the date of the checks' issuance  |  |  |
| 7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17            | Settlement Administrator will provide counsel a<br>report regarding the total amount of any funds<br>that remain from checks that are returned as<br>undeliverable or are not negotiated  | Within ten (10) business days after the conclusion of the 180-day check cashing period   |  |  |
|  | Funds from uncashed checks will be<br>redistributed to those Class Members who<br>timely cashed their settlement checks if the<br>residual amount is equal to or is more than<br>\$75,000, or to revert in <i>cy pres</i> if the residual<br>amount is less than \$75,000 | As soon as possible after the conclusion of<br>the check-cashing period  |  |  |
|  | Plaintiff will file a post-judgment report<br>regarding any funds that remain from checks that<br>are returned as undeliverable and not negotiated,<br>pursuant to section 28 of the Agreement  | At the conclusion of the 180-day check<br>cashing period and following receipt of the<br>Settlement Administrator's report showing<br>the total funds that were actually paid to<br>Settlement Class Members |  |  |
| <ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ol>             | Plaintiff will file a post-distribution accounting<br>pursuant to section 41.c. of the Agreement  | Within twenty-one (21) days after the distribution of any remaining monies to Settlement Class Members who cashed their Settlement Award check or to the <i>cy pres</i> recipient                            |  |  |
| 22<br>23<br>24<br>25   | Class Counsel to immediately destroy the Class<br>List  | Within five (5) business days following<br>filing of the administrator's declaration<br>regarding final accounting or completion of<br>the settlement administration, whichever is<br>earlier                |  |  |
| 26<br>27   | IT IS SO ORDERED. LET JUDGMENT BE ENTERED ACCORDINGLY.  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>28</li> <li>29</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> </ol> | Dated:, 2023  | The Honorable Eumi Lee<br>Judge of the Superior Court  |  |  |
|  | 5<br>[PROPOSED] AMENDED ORDER GRANTING FINAL API<br>ENTERING FINAL 3<br>Khayo Sishi, et al. v. Eskaton P  | JUDGMENT   |  |  |

| 1        | PROOF OF SERVICE  |  |  |
|----------|---|--|--|
| 2        |   |  |  |
| 3        | I, the undersigned, declare that I am, and was at the time of service of the papers herein referred to, over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action or proceeding. I am |  |  |
| 4        | employed at Schneider Wallace Cottrell Konecky LLP located at 2000 Powell Street, Suite 1400,<br>Emeryville, California 94608.  |  |  |
| 5        | On August 1, 2023 I served the following document(s):   |  |  |
| 6<br>7   | [PROPOSED] AMENDED ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS<br>ACTION SETTLEMENT AND ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT  |  |  |
| 8        | on the following person(s) listed below, as follows:  |  |  |
| 9        | Mollie M. Durke (SDN 222112) Attomous for Defendants  |  |  |
| 10       | Mollie M. Burks (SBN 222112)Attorneys for DefendantsLinh T. Hua (SBN 247419)ESKATON PROPERTIESChristia E. Vana (SBN 228001)ESKATON PROPERTIES   |  |  |
| 11       | Christie E. Yang (SBN 328901)<br>GORDON REES SCULLY<br>MANSUKLANILLE  |  |  |
| 12       | MANSUKHANI, LLPImmunormatic consecution (10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,  |  |  |
| 13       | Tel: (213) 576-5007 Email: cyang@grsm.com   |  |  |
| 14       | Fax: (213) 680-4470   |  |  |
| 15       | BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: Eugene Huffman, Paralegal, has submitted an  |  |  |
| 16       | electronic version of the above-referenced document to the person(s) whose email address(es) are known to me as listed above.   |  |  |
| 17       | dedress(es) dre known to me as fisted above.  |  |  |
| 18<br>19 | I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.                                     |  |  |
| 20       | Executed on August 1, 2023, in Los Angeles, California.   |  |  |
| 20       |   |  |  |
| 22       | Eugene Huffman  |  |  |
| 23       | Lugene Humman   |  |  |
| 24       |   |  |  |
| 25       |   |  |  |
| 26       |   |  |  |
| 27       |   |  |  |
| 28       |   |  |  |
|          |   |  |  |
|          |   |  |  |
|          | PROOF OF SERVICE  |  |  |
|          |   |  |  |